



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |         | ILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |                      | NEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/768,784 01/30/2004       |         | 01/30/2004  | Dale E. Herman       |                      | 1464-002       | 7143             |  |  |
| 32905                       | 7590    | 08/10/2004  |                      |                      | EXAMINER       |                  |  |  |
|                             |         | CIATES P.C. |                      | GRUNBERG, ANNE MARIE |                |                  |  |  |
| 9085 EAST<br>SUITE 200      |         | L CIRCLE    |                      | <i>F</i>             | ART UNIT       | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
| CENTENN                     | IAL, CO | 80112       |                      | 1661                 |                |                  |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | <del></del> T | Applicatio   | n No.   | Applicant(s)       |         |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---------------|--------------|---|--------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |   |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |               | 10/768,78    | 4   | HERMAN ET AL.      |         |  |  |  |  |
|  | • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |               | Examiner     | O combination   | Art Unit           | ı       |  |  |  |  |
| The MA   | ILING DATE of this commun   | nication appe |              | e Grunberg  | 1661               | Idroce  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   | ILINO DATE OF GIRO COMM   | mouno app     | 7013 VII 412 | Orer Shoot man are t  | Ollespolideliee aa | uress - |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Respons   | sive to communication(s) file   | ed on         | _•           |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
|  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
|  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Cla   | Disposition of Claims   |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)   | 4)  Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
| Application Paper  | rs  |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
| •  | ification is objected to by th  |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 l  | U.S.C. § 119  |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |               |              |   |                    |         |  |  |  |  |
|  | erson's Patent Drawing Review (Four osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or   |               |              | 4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | te                 | )-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/768,784

Art Unit: 1661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Objection to the Disclosure 37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

#### 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

Application/Control Number: 10/768,784

Art Unit: 1661

Page 3

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 35 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same *per se* and which distinguish the plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More Specifically:

- A. Applicant should set forth in the specification a more detailed description of the asexual reproduction of the instant plant. Information pertaining to the type of tissue culture employed should be set forth.
- B. In paragraph [0011], the height from the ground the measurement was made should be set forth.
- C. It is unclear what the color designation "155 B/C" denotes in paragraph [0011]. It is unclear whether both these colors are found on the trunk or whether the color is between these designations or whether something else entirely is meant.
- D. In order to provide as complete a botanical description as is reasonably possible, the color designation and size of the buds as well as time of leaf out should be set forth in the specification.
- E. If the variety 'Fargo' in paragraph [0022] is Plant Patent 10,963 this information (patent number) should be inserted after the variety name.
- F. Since the autumn foliage color is excellent, the color designation for the fall color should be set forth in the specification.

Art Unit: 1661

The above listing may not be complete. Applicant should carefully review the disclosure and import into it any corrected or additional information, which would aid in botanically identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United Sates Plant Patent protection is sought.

# <u>Claim Rejections</u> 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st & 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for the reasons set forth in the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

#### **Future Correspondence**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie Grünberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-0975. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday through Thursday from 7:30 until 5:00, and every other Friday from 7:30 until 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached at (571) 272-0811. The fax number for the unit is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

ANNE MARIE CRUNBERG
PRIMAGY EXAMINER